Committee: Children and Young People Overview and

Scrutiny Panel

Date: 15th June 2011

Agenda item: 8 Wards: All Wards

Subject: Performance Monitoring: regular update

Lead officer: Paul Ballatt (Children, Schools and Families), 020 8545 4066

Lead members: Councillor Maxi Martin, Councillor Peter Walker.

Forward Plan reference number: N/A

Contact officer: Michael Sutherland; 020 8545 4090

michael.sutherland@merton.gov.uk

Reason for urgency: The legal requirements for Access to Information have not been met. The Chair has approved the urgent submission of this item, following a request for the report made at late notice. It is essential for the Panel to consider this report at their initial meeting of the municipal year.

Recommendations:

A. That the Children and Young People's Overview and Scrutiny Panel discuss and comment on the current levels of performance set out in the attached report.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 To provide the Overview and Scrutiny Panel with regular data on the performance of Children, Schools and Families Department and key partners.

2 DETAILS

- 2.1 At the Children and Young People Scrutiny Panel meeting on 5th June 2007 it was agreed that the Children, Schools and Families Department would submit a regular performance report on a range of key performance indicators. This would act as a 'health check' for the panel and would be over and above more detailed performance reports relating to specific areas of the Department's activities eg annual school standards report; safeguarding performance reports which the Panel would continue to receive.
- 2.2 This indicator set has recently been refreshed. It reports data as available at the end of April 2011. Commentary is provided for measures where performance is not reaching targets set or where particularly good performance is being achieved.

CHILDREN'S SOCIAL CARE

Line 1 Rate per 10,000 Child Protection Plans

The April rate per 10,000 was 27.1 which is outside of the target range. Currently 114 CYP have a plan from 61 families. This is a drop of 21 CYP since February. Current rates are in line with statistical neighbours.

Line 2 and 3 Initial Assessments completed within 10 days and Core Assessments completed within 35 days

Completion rates are below target, however the overall number of open cases has reduced significantly due to improved workflow and completion. A number of those have been completed were already overdue which has impacted negatively on the percentages.

Line 7 Child Protection Re-registration

The 2010/2011 outturn was 13.5%, which is in line with national rates. In the month of April 2 of 6 CYP were reregistered, as the year progresses the indicator will provide a more robust indication of re-registration rates.

Line 8 Rate per 10,000 Children in Care

The current rate per 10,000 is 30.7 (129 CYP). This is below the targeted rates by a small amount.

Line 12 Number of children in care adopted during the year (includes SGOs)

This year's target was met. 11 CYP achieved permanency. Timescales in achieving adoption after the adoption panel has also improved this year. The service seeks to further improve performance in this area in the coming year.

Youth Inclusion

Lines 13 Youth Re-offending rates

Reoffending (a cohort measure) is stable however as the cohort is small percentages can fluctuate. Current rates are within target.

Line 15 First Time Entrants to the youth justice system

Youth Justice - prevention services linking YISP/FIP/Parenting support and Triage to the police has significantly brought down first time entry to the criminal justice system again a 59% fall over 3 years. With the move to Sutton police station we need to ensure Triage relationships continue.

EDUCATION

Line 17 Permanent Exclusions – secondary age

Secondary permanents may come out about the same as last year - however a few incidents with multiple exclusions can affect this figure. Hard to place and partnership processes around behaviour are still strong across secondary schools.

Line 19 Outcomes of School Inspections

24 of 36 schools are rated good or better by Ofsted. Performance has been revised to include schools previously rated good or outstanding who had inspections deferred in the current round as the performance data shows continued high levels of achievement.

Line 22 Statements Issued in 26 weeks with and without exceptions

Current performance is at 84.8%, 4% below target. Contributing factors to this drop include: an increased volume of requests for statutory assessment and the subsequent issue of statements; vacancies within the team and new staff undergoing training.

SAFETY

Line 23 CYP Road Accidents

E+R are continuing to raise awareness of road hazards with vulnerable road user groups through education programmes, publicity campaigns and training schemes i.e. road safety presentations in schools, information on child car seat fitting and legislation to parents, extensive programme of cycle training and scooter and motorcycle courses, incorporating the Met Police Bike Safe initiative.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

3.1The Panel's scrutiny work programme is determined by the members of the Panel

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1 The Panel has agreed to consider the performance report on an annual basis

5. TIMETABLE

5.1 None relating to this covering report

6 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 None relating to this covering report

7. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 None relating to this covering report
- 8. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS
- 8.1 None relating to this covering report

9. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1 None relating to this covering report

10. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 None relating to this covering report

11. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT •

Performance data sheet

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1. None

Red traffic light indicates difference from target range and is not a performance judgement in itself Red traffic light indicates difference I and is not a performance judgement 33% (2/6) 7 (0.14%) 43% 100% 100% 100% April 54% 100% %26 %0 27.1 20 2010/2011 outturn 6 (0.12%) 99% 94% 13% (16/119) 65% 100% 31.4 %86 1.01 2531 91% 85% 144 53 10 (0.12%) 6 (0.12%) %9 99% 31.4 100% %86 10% 28% 28.6 53 4 (0.08%0 57% 15% (2/15) 52% 58% 94% 32.8 100% %86 10% 100% %66 32.1 22 59% (13/22) 31.7 59% 81% 100% %26 %26 %86 %6 53 Quarter 3 (YTD) 13.2% (12/91) 100% 93% %99 92% 100% 0.75 85% 1470 1623 87% %86 %66 110 1(0.02%) %9*L* 100% 100% %86 30.7 %66 83% %0 %/ 54 0 58% (11/19) 32.4 82% 55% 100% 100% 91% 27.8 38% %86 %9 99 31.9 0 60% (9/15) 83% 100% 100% %66 %26 28.8 4% %0 54 Quarter 2 (YTD) 90.5% 100% 100% 100% 0.48 81% 0 60% (9/15) 1498 63% %28 81 60% (9/15) 100% 100% 32.6 %89 92% %66 26.4 40% 2% 22 August 9 60% (9/15) 100% 100% 100% 91% 33.6 30.0 62% %66 20% 28 2% 19 100% 34.7 100% N/A 54% (7/13) 48% 100% 93% %66 July 29.0 8% 2% 26 Quarter 1 (YTD) 15.6% 100% N/A 50% (6/12) 1037 100% 100% 0.33 79% 50 458 **%69 %86** N/A 50% (6/12) 100% 100% 35.9 100% %26 %86 28.6 92% 17% 99 2% 12 100% 100% 95% 34.7 100% N/A 45% %66 May 27.6 72% 25% 2% 10 99 100% 100% 100% 34.0 82% 10% %66 45% 29.0 52 2% N/A Target 2011-12 N/A 12 CYP 10-17% 30-35 100% 100% 33-35 100% 1.03 85% 200 1700 N/A 60% TBC 75% %68 100% 100% 34 100% 1.05 85% 60% 75% %06 1700 %68 10% %68 36.4 %6 TBC 91% 35.5 78% %26 83% TBC 28 2009-10 100% 100% 100% 2102 %68 96.0 61% %86 89% 87% %06 30.9 52 %8 188 19 38% N/A 33 of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans
 of Children with Child Protection Plans visits due completed on ime (Child Seen) Young people re-offending (from 4 cohorts presented as one number)
The proportion of Youth Offenders who are in education, training o amployment 6 of Children with a Child Protection Plan with allocated a socia Statements issued within 26 weeks with and without exceptions 23 CYP Road accidents - reported incidents Fatal/Serious/Slight 15 First Time Entrants to the youth Justice system (aged 10-17) % Outstanding/Good Number of families living in 30% most deprived communities taking up universal services in childrens centres Statements issued within 26 weeks without exceptions Number of Children in Care using in-house foster care % of initial assessments completed within 10 days % of core assessments completed within 35 days % of Children in Care with allocated social worker % of Children in Care adopted during the year Number of BME Pupil Exclusions Permanent Number of Pupil Exclusions Permanent Rate per 10,000 Child Protection Plans % of reviews held for Children in Care Rate per 10,000 Children in Care Child Protection Re-registration Youth Service: Participation fouth Inclusion 12 13 4 20 6 16 17 18 19 21

Performance monitoring index for CYP Overview and Scrutiny Panel - June 2011

This page is intentionally blank